

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/659,225	09/10/2003	Jason Clay Pearson	71593	5733		
7590 08/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER			
Bernard J. Graves, Jr.			SANDERS, KRIELLI	SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE		
Eastman Chemi P.O. Box 511	cal Company	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			1714	1714		
		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/659,225	PEARSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kriellion A. Sanders	1714		

		Kilellion A. Sanders	1714	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 04 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	É FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Exter	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have unde set fo may	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	NDMENTS			
3	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or			the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
<u></u>	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. [_	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ■UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
	Other:		Loulle Sad	
			A Comment	

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection is repeated for reasons of record. Applicant is advised that every patent is presumed to be valid. and is directed to col. 3, lines 50-67 of Mogami et al., wherein pyrimidine ompounds are specifically amed. barbituric acid is 2,4,6 trioxy pyrimidine. The compound of formula (II) of Moami et al corresponds to the 6th compound of applicant's claim 53. It is understood by those in the art that the 5th ring atom which is carbon has a valency of 4 and not 2. Likewie, the the 5th ring carbon atom of Mogami et al which is also carbon, has a valency of 4. This carbon atom may be attached to hydrogen.